EXHIBIT 2

• Excerpts from the January 11, 2013 Hearing before the Court

```
1
     D1BPMTBC
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
 3
     IN RE: METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL
                                           00 MDL 1358
     ETHER ("MTBE") PRODUCTS
 3
                                           Master File C.A.
 4
     LIABILITY LITIGATION
                                          No. 1:00-1898(SAS)
                                               04CV4973 (SAS)
 4
 5
     ----X
 5
                                           January 11, 2013
 6
 6
                                           12:43 p.m.
 7
 7
     Before:
 8
 8
                       HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN,
 9
9
                                           District Judge
10
10
                              APPEARANCES
11
    MILLER, AXLINE & SAWYER
11
12
         Plaintiffs City of Fresno
12
    BY: TRACEY O'REILLY
13
13 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
14
          Attorneys for Defendants Exxon Mobil Corp.
14
          and defendants' liaison counsel
   BY: JAMES PARDO
15
15
          STEPHEN J. RICCARDULLI
16
16 SEDGWICK, LLP
17
          Attorneys for Defendants Shell Oil Co.;
17
          Texaco Refining and Marketing, Inc.;
          Chevron U.S.A. Inc.; Motiva Enterprises;
18
18
          Equilon Enterprises, LLC
     BY: PETER C. CONDRON
19
19
20 BRACEWELL & GIULIANI
20
          Attorneys for Defendants Ultramar, Inc.;
21
          Valero Marketing and Supply Company [DOE 1]
21
    BY: COY M. CONNELLY
22
22
    SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP
23
          Attorneys Defendant for Exxon Mobil Corp.
23
   BY: JEFFREY J. PARKER
24
25
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D1BPMTBC

MR. CORRELL: I know we are, your Honor, but sometimes -- we typically file those together, like we're doing here. So that may have been -- I'm just trying -- I don't recall why we didn't get the trespass claim out.

THE COURT: Well, get it out. It's not in my court, and it would be interesting to see if that other judge follows all this other California law and says it's out.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ CORRELL: We'll have to do it in pretrial conference, your Honor.

THE COURT: You don't have a lot of time left. You go to trial in a month.

 $\,$ MR. CORRELL: The pretrial conference is in a week and a half, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I will say again, I think this trespass claim is weak, and the plaintiffs should move on. That said, I can't bar you from making a motion. If you want to make motions until the cows come home, make motions. All I can do is give you my views upfront so you know what you're facing.

Let's go ahead and talk briefly about nuisance. Give me a minute. This has got specific cites, I think. Hold on. I've got to review the notes again.

Here, the City agrees that the defendants have to have done something more than place a product into the stream of commerce, right, to prevail on a nuisance claim. Right?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

30 D1BPMTBC 1 a promotion of --THE COURT: That may be, but the defense is saying in this case, for specific defendants at specific locations, you don't have that evidence. That's why I'm saying, before this 4 one gets briefed, I would hope you would show some flexibility 5 6 of looking at case by case and defendant by defendant and 7 realizing where you do have it and where you don't. 8 And maybe if you have that kind of conversation, the 9 defense would also come to the same realization and say, we won 10 the ones we should have and we realize there are fact issues on 11 the others. We'll try those. How many -- I don't know the 12 insides and outsides of the Fresno case. How many sites are 13 you talking about? 14 MS. O'REILLY: The list is attached to their --15 THE COURT: Well, don't tell me that. Tell me how 16 many you have. 17 MR. CORRELL: 20, your Honor. 18 MR. PARKER: 20. 19 THE COURT: How many? 20 MR. PARKER: 20. 21 THE COURT: And how many moving defendants are there 22 on this one? 23 MR. PARKER: Because some have been dropped, like the 24 Chevron entities, I believe there will be six moving. Probably 25 about six. I mean, it will be a combined motion, your Honor. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D1BPMTBC

MR. PARKER: -- and identified the exact moving defendants for that particular station.

THE COURT: That's good. But now I'm saying I'd like to test it the way she's arguing here, which is to say if these defendants even gave instruction manuals that had a phone number to call if there's a spill, that's enough to sustain a nuisance is claim. And we should test that once, but only once. If she loses it, it applies to all of those where that's the only evidence.

Another example is the defendant required the presence of certain equipment. Okay. If that's enough, then if she wins it once then, she wins it every time. If she loses it, she loses it every time. That's what I'm trying to ask you to do when you sit down to structure your motion.

MR. PARKER: That's what I was going to propose, your Honor, is if the plaintiff can tell us for the identified defendants on this table what their evidence is, then we can do what your Honor suggested, and that's target the particular. If this is all there is, is that enough.

THE COURT: That's right. We can structure the motion so that it's useful to me. I'm not going to take 20 motions on 20 sites. I'd rather you broke it down the way you did here and say, we think we have enough for a nuisance claim merely because there was manuals from the company that delivered it, or because they told them what equipment they had to have on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

D1BPMTBC

premises, or -- and ratchet it up from the least to the most, and that's how I'll rule, and it applies across the board.

Then we won't have to do it for 20 specific sites because you said this is very fact intensive, but really, in the end, it breaks down to categories. Were there only instructions? There's only equipment or whatever else, those are examples. Whatever else you have. All right. Will you do

are examples. Whatever else you have. All right. Will you do it that way? Which requires you to meet with them first before they file the motion.

MS. O'REILLY: Certainly, your Honor, we can do that, and we can meet and confer with them to see if we can categorize some of these stations in order to structure it in a way that would make it easier for you.

THE COURT: Well, the way I just told you -- MS. O'REILLY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- take that as an order because I don't want it any other way. You have to say in some of these locations our theory rests solely on the manual accompanying the delivery, in some of these it rests on telling them what equipment to put in, and some of them, I don't know what, there's on-site inspections regularly by the delivering defendant; so whatever it might be. Okay.

MR. PARKER: That sounds good.

 $\,$ THE COURT: That meet and confer and information sharing has to happen before the motion.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

D1BPMTBC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARKER: Thank you. THE COURT: Then I'll go with that. So today is January 11th. By February 8th you must supply this information, Miss O'Reilly, but I tell you, do not ask for an extension of that date. I won't do it. I won't do it. It's not right. This is an old case. Everything is old. Comes a time everything has to move. So after you get that, then you can have your meet and confer within two weeks after that? MR. PARKER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That takes you up to February 22nd. And after that meet and confer, you're ready to

move because you know what's in and what's out. Hopefully, the plaintiff will understand, maybe, that some defendants at some sites are not in and maybe the defendant will, upon reviewing the evidence, will know it's not a motion for summary judgment, it's a trial issue. Heck, you haven't even moved to take nuisance and trespass out of the Crescenta Valley case; so I guess you don't care that much. So work it out to the extent you can.

MR. PARKER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. O'REILLY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I want to flip to the Duke. Who's

here for Duke?

MR. WEDEKING: Jim Wedeking for Duke. SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

38